

City of Seattle

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

A. BACKGROUND:

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

An ordinance relating to land use and zoning, amending Seattle Municipal Code sections 23.42.030, 23.47A.004, 23.47A.006, 23.50.012, 23.50.014, 23.54.030, and 23.84A.030 to allow a recycling use in a Commercial 2 zone to be located on the same development site as a solid waste management use through administrative conditional use review, to allow access to a solid waste management use through a Commercial 2 zone or Industrial Buffer zone, and to allow limited uses associated with a solid waste management use to be located in Commercial 2 zones and Industrial Buffer zones through administrative conditional use review.

2. Name of Applicant:

City of Seattle Department of Planning and Development

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

Applicant: City of Seattle
Department of Planning and Development (DPD)

Contact: William Mills
206-684-8738

4. Date checklist prepared:

January 30, 2012

5. Agency requesting checklist:

City of Seattle Department of Planning and Development.

6. Proposed timing or schedule (include phasing if applicable):

The proposed Land Use Code amendments will likely be discussed in a public hearing and considered by the City Council in the spring of 2012.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansions, or further activities related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain:

The proposed text amendment may facilitate the proposed reconstruction of the North Recycling and Disposal Station (NRDS) located in North Seattle.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal:

No other environmental information is known that has been prepared directly for this text amendment.

The following information was prepared for the NRDS project:

SEPA Determination of Nonsignificance for the North Recycling and Disposal Station (NRDS) (April 17, 2008)

Environmental Checklist for the North Recycling and Disposal Station (NRDS) (April 9, 2008)

Air Quality Technical Report for the North Recycling and Disposal Station (NRDS) (March 2008). This report characterizes existing air quality; assesses the impacts of the NRDS on air quality from construction, customer self-haul traffic, commercial and residential garbage collection trucks, machinery emissions, and odor; and analyzes the station's compliance with National Ambient Air Quality Standards. The report also provides a discussion of common measures to mitigate potential air quality impacts.

Noise Technical Report for the North Recycling and Disposal Station (NRDS) (March 2008). This report uses the results of onsite noise monitoring to characterize the existing noise environment in the vicinity of the NRDS and to assess the noise impacts of future traffic and machinery noise. The report also provides a discussion of common measures to mitigate potential noise impacts.

Transportation Technical Report for the North Recycling and Disposal Station (NRDS) (March 2008). This report presents a traffic analysis, including estimates of truck and car traffic associated with waste and recycling collection and self-haul drop off at the NRDS.

Visual Technical Report for the North Recycling and Disposal Station (NRDS) (March 2008). This report addresses visual impacts of and mitigation for the proposed reconstruction of the NRDS, including shadow impacts on the residential area north of the station site.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain:

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

10. List any governmental approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known:

Approval by Mayor and City Council.

11. Give a brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site.

The proposal would amend Seattle Municipal Code sections 23.42.030, 23.47A.004, 23.47A.006, 23.50.012, 23.50.014, 23.54.030, and 23.84A.030 to allow a recycling use in a Commercial 2 zone to be located on the same development site as a solid waste management use through administrative conditional use review, to allow access to a solid waste management use in any Industrial zone through a Commercial 2 (C2) zone or Industrial Buffer (IB) zone, and to allow limited uses associated with a solid waste management use to be located in Commercial 2 zones and Industrial Buffer zones through administrative conditional use review.

The proposed Code amendments may facilitate the NRDS project as follows:

- Allow a utility use permitted as a conditional use in the zone in which it is located to take access across property located in any Industrial zone or in a Commercial 2 (C2) zone, regardless of whether the utility use is also permitted in the zone across which the access is taken, provided that the access is reviewed as part of the conditional use analysis for the utility.
- Allow a recycling use located on the same development site as a solid waste transfer station to be permitted as an administrative conditional use in a C2 zone, provided that it meets specific criteria added to the conditional use regulations in Section 23.47A.006.
- Require 60 percent of the portion of the development site in the C2 zone to be maintained as open space.
- Limit the size of trucks allowed to drop off recyclables.
- Allow parking and driveways in a C2 or IB zone to be permitted as an administrative conditional use if they are accessory to a solid waste transfer station located on the same development site.
- Allow conditional use approval of accessory office and crew space use in a structure containing a recycling use, but where the office and crew space use is accessory to a solid waste transfer station located on the same development site.
- Allow a solid waste transfer station as an administrative conditional use in an Industrial Buffer (IB) zone, provided that it meets specific and limiting standards added to Section 23.50.014.
- Provide criteria for analysis of a solid waste transfer station as a conditional use in an IB zone.

- Limit the total floor area of the solid waste transfer station principal structure allowed in an IB zone to 7,000 square feet.
- Rooftop features on both the solid waste transfer station and the recycling use principal structures will not be allowed to exceed the maximum height limit of the zone.
- Require a 65-foot setback between any façade of the solid waste transfer station or the recycling use principal structures and any lot line that abuts or is across a street from a residentially zoned lot.
- Require a 65-foot setback between any surface parking and a lot line that abuts or is across a street from a commercially zoned lot.
- Surface parking across a street from a residentially zoned lot would not be allowed.
- Require a landscaped area 20 feet deep between any parking or principal structure in an IB zone and the nearest street lot line.
- Allow accessory structures in the IB and C2 zones including scales, scale houses, entrance/exit kiosks, walls, screening, and other minor improvements. The total area of scale houses will be limited to no more than 1000 square feet.
- Outdoor storage and outdoor transfer, handling, and compacting of materials will not be allowed.
- The Director of DPD will have authority to determine maximum width of driveways and curbcuts providing access to a solid waste management use.
- Change the definition of “recycling” in Section 23.84A.040 to remove the final clause of the definition stating that the recycling use does not include collection of recyclable materials accessory to another use or any use defined as a solid waste management use.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The proposal applies to all property within Industrial zones, including Industrial Commercial (IC) zones and IB zones, and also to all property in C2 zones.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS:

1. Earth

- a. General description of site (circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- e. Describe the purpose, type and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction or use? If so, generally describe.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth, if any:**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

2. Air

- a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial, wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

3. Water

a. Surface Water:

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- 2) Will the project require any work over, in or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

b. Ground Water:

- 1) Will groundwater be withdrawn or will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground for septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

c. Water Runoff (including storm water):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground or runoff water impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

4. Plants

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

- ☒ Deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
- ☒ Evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
- ☒ Shrubs
- ☒ Grass
- ☐ Pasture
- ☐ Crop or grain
- ☐ Wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bulrush, skunk cabbage, other
- ☐ Water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
- ☒ Other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site:

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

5. Animals

a. Circle any birds and animals that have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

6. Energy and Natural Resources

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing etc.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

7. Environmental Health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- 1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- 2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- b. Noise**

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

Properties subject to the proposal are located in Industrial zones, including IC and IB zones, and also in C2 zones throughout the City of Seattle.

f. What is current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

The areas subject to the proposed amendment are designated Industrial, Commercial, or mixed use under the comprehensive plan Future Land Use Map.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

The area subject to the proposed amendment could include sites located within the shoreline overlay districts and within various shoreline designations. The proposed changes will not result in changes to any shoreline designation.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.

The variety of sites proposed to be regulated by the legislation could include some sites with "environmentally sensitive" areas.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

1. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal are compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

The proposed amendments are a non-project action that would amend Seattle Municipal Code sections 23.42.030, 23.47A.004, 23.47A.006, 23.50.012, 23.50.014, 23.54.030, and 23.84A.030 to allow a recycling use in a Commercial 2 zone to be located on the same development site as a solid waste management use through administrative conditional use review, to allow access to a solid waste management use in any Industrial zone through a Commercial 2 (C2) zone or Industrial Buffer (IB) zone, and to allow limited uses associated with a solid waste management use to be located in Commercial 2 zones and Industrial Buffer zones through administrative conditional use review. The proposed uses are compatible with the City's Comprehensive Plan designations for the affected zones. Specific standards are proposed to limit the solid waste management use in the IB and C2 zones through conditional use analysis. Future projects developed pursuant to the provisions of the proposal will require permits, review and project approvals as provided for in the Seattle Municipal Code.

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

11. Light and Glare

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

12. Recreation

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

13. Historical and Cultural Preservation

- a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.**

The proposed amendments are a non-project action, applicable to a variety of sites in Industrial zones and C2 zones within the City of Seattle. City, State and National Landmarks are found throughout the city.

- b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site?**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe the proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- b. Is the site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.**

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

15. Public Services

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

16. Utilities

a. Utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other.

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed:

This is a nonproject action and as such, the question is inapplicable.

C. SIGNATURE

Signature provided following section D below.

D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NON-PROJECT ACTIONS

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering the questions, be aware of the extent of the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

The proposed amendments are a non-project action that would amend Seattle Municipal Code sections 23.42.030, 23.47A.004, 23.47A.006, 23.50.012, 23.50.014, 23.54.030, and 23.84A.030 to allow a recycling use in a Commercial 2 zone to be located on the same development site as a solid waste management use through administrative conditional use review, to allow access to a solid waste management use in any Industrial zone through a Commercial 2 (C2) zone or Industrial Buffer (IB) zone, and to allow limited uses associated with a solid waste management use to be located in Commercial 2 zones and Industrial Buffer zones through administrative conditional use review. It is expected that there is little potential for increased impacts to water, air, or noise or additional release of hazardous substances. Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions have also been considered, and no changes to GHG emissions are expected as a result of this non-project action. Individual projects developed pursuant to the land use and zoning provisions of the proposal will occur over time and cannot be evaluated in terms of discharge to water, emissions to air, production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances, or noise, at this stage. Such projects will be subject to environmental review (if they meet or exceed thresholds for environmental review) as they move forward.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

As discussed above, there is little potential for indirect impacts of this non-project proposal. The existing regulatory framework, i.e., the Land Use Code, The Shoreline Master Program, Environmentally Critical Areas Ordinance, and the City's SEPA ordinance will address impacts during review of development proposals on a project-specific basis. A SEPA GHG Emissions Worksheet is required for all individual projects that may be developed pursuant to this proposal. Any potential impacts from GHG emissions will be addressed during review of development proposals on a project-specific basis.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish or marine life?

The proposed amendments are a non-project action that would amend Seattle Municipal Code sections 23.42.030, 23.47A.004, 23.47A.006, 23.50.012, 23.50.014, 23.54.030, and 23.84A.030 to allow a recycling use in a Commercial 2 zone to be located on the same development site as a solid waste management use through administrative conditional use review, to allow access to a solid waste management use in any Industrial zone through a Commercial 2 (C2) zone or Industrial Buffer (IB) zone, and to allow limited uses associated with a solid waste management use to be located in Commercial 2 zones and Industrial Buffer zones through administrative conditional use review. The potential for increased environmental impacts to plants, animals, fish or marine life is low, as there are minimal numbers of plants or animals on likely sites in the Industrial and C2 zones that would be eligible sites for a solid waste management use under the proposal. On a site-by-site basis, future development projects could potentially result in plant and animal impacts

as a result of clearing vegetation or habitat that may be present on these sites, but any impacts are expected to be minimal.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

As discussed above, it is not expected that there will be any potential for indirect impacts of this non-project proposal. The existing regulatory framework, i.e., the Land Use Code, The Shoreline Master Program, Environmentally Critical Areas Ordinance, and the City's SEPA ordinance will address impacts during review of development proposals on a project-specific basis.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

The proposed amendments to the Land Use Code would be unlikely to result in any major changes to the rate of development or patterns of development in the City. As a result, the potential for increased depletion of energy and natural resources is low.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

As discussed above, the potential for indirect impacts of this non-project proposal are expected to be minor. The existing regulatory framework, i.e., the Land Use Code, The Shoreline Master Program, Environmentally Critical Areas Ordinance, and the City's SEPA ordinance will address impacts during review of development proposals on a project-specific basis.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened, or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

The proposed amendments are a non-project action that would amend Seattle Municipal Code sections 23.42.030, 23.47A.004, 23.47A.006, 23.50.012, 23.50.014, 23.54.030, and 23.84A.030 to allow a recycling use in a Commercial 2 zone to be located on the same development site as a solid waste management use through administrative conditional use review, to allow access to a solid waste management use in any Industrial zone through a Commercial 2 (C2) zone or Industrial Buffer (IB) zone, and to allow limited uses associated with a solid waste management use to be located in Commercial 2 zones and Industrial Buffer zones through administrative conditional use review. The existing regulatory framework, i.e., the Land Use Code, the Shoreline Master Program, Environmentally Critical Areas Ordinance, and the City's SEPA ordinance will address impacts during review of development proposals on a project-specific basis.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

The existing regulatory framework, i.e., the Land Use Code, The Shoreline Master Program, Environmentally Critical Areas Ordinance, and the City's SEPA ordinance will address impacts during review of development proposals on a project-specific basis.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land and shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

The proposed amendments are a non-project action that would amend Seattle Municipal Code sections 23.42.030, 23.47A.004, 23.47A.006, 23.50.012, 23.50.014, 23.54.030, and 23.84A.030 to allow a recycling use in a Commercial 2 zone to be located on the same development site as a solid waste management use through administrative conditional use review, to allow access to a solid waste management use in any Industrial zone through a Commercial 2 (C2) zone or Industrial Buffer (IB) zone, and to allow limited uses associated with a solid waste management use to be located in Commercial 2 zones and Industrial Buffer zones through administrative conditional use review. The proposal is unlikely to result in any major land use or shoreline impacts, or result in uses that are incompatible with existing plans. The existing regulatory framework, i.e., the Land Use Code, The Shoreline Master Program, Environmentally Critical Areas Ordinance, and the City's SEPA ordinance will address impacts during review of development proposals on a project-specific basis.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

The proposed amendments are a non-project action that would amend Seattle Municipal Code sections 23.42.030, 23.47A.004, 23.47A.006, 23.50.012, 23.50.014, 23.54.030, and 23.84A.030 to allow a recycling use in a Commercial 2 zone to be located on the same development site as a solid waste management use through administrative conditional use review, to allow access to a solid waste management use in any Industrial zone through a Commercial 2 (C2) zone or Industrial Buffer (IB) zone, and to allow limited uses associated with a solid waste management use to be located in Commercial 2 zones and Industrial Buffer zones through administrative conditional use review. Projects developed pursuant to the proposal are subject to review under the existing regulatory framework, i.e., the Land Use Code, The Shoreline Master Program, Environmentally Critical Areas Ordinance, and the City's SEPA ordinance. Impacts will be addressed through the review of specific projects for compliance under the provisions of these regulations.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

The proposed amendment will not result in significant adverse impacts to traffic or parking or public services and utilities. The existing regulatory framework, i.e., the Land Use Code, The Shoreline Master Program, Environmentally Critical Areas Ordinance, and the City's SEPA ordinance will address impacts during review of development proposals on a project-specific basis. The proposal is not anticipated to have a substantial effect on public services or utilities.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demands are:

Projects developed pursuant to the proposal would be reviewed on a case-by-case basis and mitigation would be required where appropriate.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

No conflicts are anticipated with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for protection of the environment.

SIGNATURE:

I, the undersigned, state that to the best of my knowledge the above information is true and complete. It is understood that the lead agency may withdraw any declaration of non-significance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part.

William K. Mills
Senior Land Use Planner, DPD

January 30, 2011
Date

This checklist was reviewed by:

Lucas J. DeHerrera, Senior Land Use Planner, City of Seattle
Department of Planning and Development

_____, 2011
Date